Spring Valley High

120 Sparkleberry Lane Columbia, SC 29229

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 1,987 Students

Principal Dr. Gregory C. Owings 803-699-3500

Superintendent Dr. Stephen W. Hefner 803–787–1910

Board Chair William Flemming, Jr., DMD 803-736-0015

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

GOOD

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

 Excellent
 Good
 Average
 Below Average
 Unsatisfactory

 10
 10
 2
 0
 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 16 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org Spring Valley High 10/30/06 4002069

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD						
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress				
2003	Excellent	Excellent	No				
2004	Excellent	Excellent	No				
2005	Excellent	Good	Yes				
2006	Good	Unsatisfactory	No				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
		Our School		'	h Schools w				
			_	Stu	Students Like Ours				
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	81.0	78.6	82.9	82.2	80.6	82.1			
Passed 1 subtest	9.5	11.4	7.1	10.0	10.3	9.1			
Passed no subtests	9.5	10.0	9.9	8.5	9.2	9.7			

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	93.7%	94.5%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	30.3	24.9
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	31.3	26.9
Seniors who met the grade point average	59.3	52.6

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	569	393
Number of Diplomas	376	286
Rate	66.1%	74.0%

Spring Valley High 10/30/06 4002069

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	85.1	81.3
English 1	79.0	71.2
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	66.5	69.6
Physical Science	68.8	56.7
All Subjects	74.3	70.1

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Pas by Sprii	sage Rate ng 2006	Eligibility Schola	for LIFE arship	Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	460	93.7	396	30.3	376	66.1	No	
Gender								
Male	229	93.0	195	31.8	284	63.7	N/A	
Female	231	94.4	201	28.9	261	74.7	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	217	97.7	192	44.8	236	80.1	N/A	
African American	206	89.3	169	10.7	262	58.8	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	23	100.0	21	57.1	25	84.0	N/A	
Hispanic	9	88.9	11	18.1	18	50.0	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	419	96.2	371	32.1	530	67.2	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	41	68.3	25	4.0	39	51.3	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	460	93.7	396	30.3	569	66.1	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	3	66.7	5	60.0	4	50.0	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	457	93.9	391	29.9	565	66.2	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	112	84.8	140	54.3	140	54.3	N/A	
Full-pay meals	348	96.6	322	35.7	429	69.9	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

10/30/06 4002069

					VC:			

NOAP PERFORMANCE BY GR	/	1	1	1	1	1	1.	- 1	7
	Enrollment 1st	p/ ~	% Below Basic	} /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective
	Je J	" resting % Tested	/ ×	% Basic			, jej j		ibati
		/ %	/ %	/ %	1 4	Ag			artic
	Day Et	1	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	1 % \$	/ [©] 8	[©] &
	inglish/Lan	guage Art		Performa			.5%		
All Students	506	97.6	9.5	22.0	29.3	39.2	75.1	Yes	Yes
Gender	264	07.0	10.4	24.2	21.6	24.0	70.0	NI/A	NI/A
Male Female	264 242	97.0 98.3	12.4 6.5	21.2 22.8	31.6 26.7	34.8 44.0	70.8 79.7	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	242	90.3	0.0	22.0	20.7	44.0	79.7	IN/A	IN/A
White	195	97.9	3.2	12.6	30.5	53.7	87.4	Yes	Yes
African American	262	96.9	13.9	31.8	27.8	26.5	64.5	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	202	100.0	3.8	11.5	34.6	50.0	88.5	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	20	100.0	26.3	5.3	31.6	36.8	68.4	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	20	I/S	1/S	I/S	I/S	1/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		1,5	1,0	1,0	1,5	1,5	1,0	1,0	1,0
Not Disabled	465	98.1	6.1	21.3	30.5	42.2	78.9	N/A	N/A
Disabled	41	92.7	52.8	30.6	13.9	2.8	27.8	I/S	No
Migrant Status		02.1	02.0	00.0	1010	2.0	21.10	1,70	110
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	506	97.6	9.5	22.0	29.3	39.2	75.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	15	100.0	30.8	23.1	38.5	7.7	53.8	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	491	97.6	9.0	22.0	29.0	40.1	75.7	N/A	N/A
Socio–Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	136	96.3	20.0	28.8	32.8	18.4	59.2	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	369	98.1	5.9	19.6	28.0	46.5	80.7	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ctive = 50	0.0%			
All Students	506	97.8	12.8	24.0	24.2	38.9	74.3	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	264	97.3	15.1	22.7	21.9	40.2	73.7	N/A	N/A
Female	242	98.3	10.3	25.4	26.7	37.5	75.0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	195	97.9	2.1	14.2	25.8	57.9	90.0	Yes	Yes
African American	262	97.3	22.0	32.9	24.0	21.1	60.2	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	27	100.0	N/A	11.5	23.1	65.4	96.2	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	20	100.0	21.1	26.3	15.8	36.8	68.4	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	405	000	0.0	00.7	05.0	44.0	70.4	21/2	21/4
Not Disabled	465	98.3	9.2	23.7	25.3	41.8	78.1	N/A	N/A
Disabled Migrant Status	41	92.7	58.3	27.8	11.1	2.8	27.8	I/S	No
Migrant Status	0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	N/A	NI/A	N/A
Migrant	506	N/A 97.8	N/A 12.8	N/A 24.0	N/A 24.2	N/A	74.3	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Non-Migrant	506	91.6	12.0	24.0	24.2	38.9	14.3	IN/A	IN/A
English Proficiency Limited English Proficient	15	100.0	15.4	30.8	23.1	30.8	76.9	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	491	97.8	12.8	23.8	24.3	39.1	74.3	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	481	31.0	12.0	23.0	24.3	J. J.	14.3	IN/A	IN/A
Subsidized meals	136	96.3	26.4	36.8	20.8	16.0	52.0	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	369	98.4	8.1	19.6	25.4	46.9	82.1	N/A	N/A
i aii pay illouis	1 000	1 00.4	0.1	10.0	20.7	1 70.0	02.1	14//	13//1

Spring Valley High

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,987)			Zino Guio	
Retention rate	6.5%	Down from 7.1%	6.5%	7.0%
Attendance rate	97.1%	No change	95.7%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	7.4%	Up from 4.7%	11.8%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	8.9%		10.3%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	7.2% 0.3%	Down from 7.8% Down from 5.4%	7.4% 1.8%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	29.8% N/A	- p	17.5% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	30.3%	14/7	24.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	1.4%	Down from 4.3%	2.5%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.9%	Up from 0.2%	3.3%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	1015	Up from 869	888	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	6.4%	Up from 3.3%	27.0%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	78.7%	Up from 77.0%	80.0%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem	98.5% ents.	Down from 98.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Teachers (n= 130)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	68.5%	Up from 65.4%	57.4%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	L. 1/A	N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	13.1%		8.2%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	13.8%	Up from 10.7%	6.3%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year	84.9%	Up from 84.8%	88.9%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate	96.2%	•	95.4%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	, .,	Up 2.2% Up from 7.5 days	\$43,669 10.3 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School	1.1 days	op nom 7.0 days	10.0 days	11.2 days
Principal's years at school	7.0	Up from 6.0	4.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	26.9 to 1	Up from 26.6 to 1	27.3 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	92.2%	Up from 91.9%	89.7%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,358	Down 7.8%	\$6,018	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	65.2%	Up from 62.4%	58.8%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	70.9%		64.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent		Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	86.5%	Down from 87.9%	92.9%	92.8%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	No change	Good	Good
Classes in law payorty asharla not to which he high	u avalified to l-		District 4.1%	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high			0.0%	6.2% 10.2%
S.acces in riigh porony someons not taught by high	, quamioù todoi	State Object		ate Objective

Abbreviations for Missing Data

0.0%

94.0%*

No

Yes

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

Spring Valley High 10/30/06 4002069

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

This has been another exciting year at Spring Valley High School. Spring Valley received an Excellent Report Card and was named a Palmetto Gold Recipient for the fifth year in a row. Spring Valley was also named in the top 4% of all high schools in the nation by Newsweek Magazine, and the State Department of Education recognized Spring Valley as a Red Carpet Award Winner. Our academic successes have been tremendous. Spring Valley SAT, AP, and ACT scores were all above the national average.

Our Math and Mock Trial Teams were very successful in State Competitions. students in our Magnet Program won over 70 awards for science research at the SC Junior Academy of Science Competition. We had a Presidential Scholar, bringing the Spring Valley total to nine since the inception of this program (more than any other high school in SC). This senior class had 4 National Merit Finalists, 5 National Merit Semifinalists, 8 National Merit Commended, 1 National Achievement Finalist, 1 National Achievement Semifinalist, 4 National Achievement Referred, 1 Park Scholar, 2 Robert Byrd Scholars, 33 Palmetto Fellows, 33 Academic Honor Graduates, 41 Scholar Athletes, and 11 athletic scholarships. Our ROTC Drill Team won the state championship for the 21st consecutive year. The Spring Valley Band, Orchestra and Viking Singers received Superior Ratings at all of their competitions. Our Spring Valley Players were chosen as one of 50 drama groups in the nation to perform in Scotland. Spring Valley has also enjoyed a tremendous year in athletics as well with nine teams winning Region Championships, the most in school history. Our girls' and boys' track teams and boys' soccer team finished second in the state. We also had 4 All American Athletes this year. Thirty-five teachers were honored in Who's Who Among America's Teachers and 35 have earned National Board Certification.

To guarantee that our successes continue, we systemically evaluate our programs and look for avenues of improvement. We initiated practices in literacy instruction across the curriculum to help improve reading comprehension.

Greg Owings, Principal Donna Tinsley, SIC Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	131	304	32			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.9%	75.5%	68.8%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	91.6%	82.7%	62.5%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	85.4%	81.8%	56.3%			

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.